## Acute Flaccid Myelitis: What do we know?

Rebecca Wallihan, MD December 10, 2018

#### Disclosures

• None

# POLIO-LIKE'





#### Objectives

- Identify the clinical presentation of acute flaccid myelitis (AFM) in children
- Understand the epidemiology and potential etiologies of AFM
- Recognize potential outcomes of AFM in children

#### Mark's Story



#### What is acute flaccid myelitis (AFM)?

- Illness with sudden onset of flaccid weakness in one or more extremities AND distinct grey matter lesions in the spinal cord
- CDC investigating since 2014
- Cause often unknown
  - Possibly caused by different viral pathogens including: enteroviruses
     (including poliovirus and EV-A71), flaviviruses like West Nile virus or Japanese
     encephalitis virus, herpesviruses, and adenoviruses

#### Acute flaccid myelitis in the US



#### Number of confirmed U.S. AFM cases reported to CDC by month of onset, August 2014 - October $2018^{*+}$







\*Confirmed AFM cases as of November 23, 2018. Patients under investigation are still being classified, and the case counts are subject to change. Case counts will be updated every Monday.

#### Demographics

	2012-2015 CDC	2018 CDC		
No. of cases	120	80		
Sex (% male)	59	59		
Median age, years (range)	7.1 (0.4-20.8)	4 (0.6-32)		
Pre-existing conditions, %	21	NR		
• Asthma	10	NR		
<ul> <li>Immunocompromised</li> </ul>	2	NR		



## How does AFM present?

#### Symptoms

- Weakness in one or more limbs
  - may be accompanied by stiff neck, headache, or pain in the affected limb(s)
- Onset of weakness is rapid (hours to a few days)
- Cranial nerve abnormalities
  - Facial or eyelid droop
  - Difficulty swallowing or speaking
  - Hoarse or weak cry





#### Prodromal illness

	2012-2015 CDC	2018 CDC		
Prodromal illness, %	90	99		
• Fever	64	81		
Respiratory symptoms	81	78		
GI symptoms	NR	38		

\*Median 4.5 days from onset of illness to limb weakness

#### Clinical presentation

	2012-2015 CDC	2018 CDC		
Neurologic illness/deficits, %				
Altered mental status	11	NR		
Limb weakness*	100	100		
UE weakness	77	48		
LE weakness	66	52		
Asymmetric	47	NR		
Sensory involvement	21	NR		
Cranial nerve dysfunction	28	NR		

\*Upper limb only in 48%, lower limb only in 9%

#### Laboratory findings

	2012-2015 CDC	2018 CDC
CSF pleocytosis, % (median, range)	81 (44, 0-664)	83 (103, 6-814)
CSF protein	43 (17-921)	47 (9-289)
Virus identified in CSF, %	2	1
EV D68 in respiratory specimen, %	20	22
Non-D68 rhino/enterovirus, %	21	31

Messacar et al, *Ann Neurol*, 2017 CDC, 2018

#### Imaging findings

- Grey matter lesions in > 1 spinal segment mostly cervical
- Ventral (anterior horn) cells most commonly involved
  - May have entire central grey matter involved, producing characteristic "H" pattern on axial images
  - Ventral and dorsal nerve roots may demonstrate signal abnormality
  - Conus medullaris and cauda equina involvement frequent
- Hyperintensity on T2 and FLAIR weighted sequences and are usually non-enhancing
- Brainstem involvement possible



Messacar et al, *Ann Neurol*, 2017 CDC, 2018

#### Imaging findings

	CDPH (n=59)	CHCO (n=12)	PCH (n=11)	CDC (n=120)
T2 gray matter lesions spanning multiple vertebral levels on spinal cord MRI	90 (>3 levels)	100 (>3 levels)	91 (>3 levels)	96 (>1 level)
Nerve root enhancement on spinal cord MRI	20	40	NR	34
Brainstem lesions on brain MRI	NR	75	36	35
Supratentorial lesions on brain MRI	31	0	0	11

CDPH = California Department of Public Health. June 2012–July 2015. CHCO = Children's Hospital Colorado. August 1, 2014–October 31, 2014. PCH = Primary Children's Hospital. February 2014–January 2015.

#### AFM differential diagnosis

- Synovitis
- Neuritis
- Limb injury
- Guillain-Barre syndrome (GBS)
- Transverse myelitis

- Stroke, including spinal stroke
- Tumor
- Acute cord compression
- Conversion disorder

		Acute Flaccid Myelitis Cases in the United States 2012–2015	Idiopathic Transverse Myelitis	Acute Inflammatory Demyelinating Polyneuropathy	Acute Disseminated Encephalomyelitis	
Prodrome	Preceding illness	Febrile respiratory or gastrointestinal illness common, median of 7 days previous	Respiratory, gastrointestinal, or systemic illness common	Respiratory or gastrointestinal illness common 2 to 4 weeks previous	Febrile respiratory illness or vaccination common	
	Associated symptoms at onset	Fever; meningeal signs; limb/neck/back pain common	Dysesthesia and paresthesias;back pain	Afebrile;no meningeal signs;leg pain, unsteady gait	Fever; meningeal signs; encephalopathy	Fever & meningeal signs com
Motor deficits	Progression	Progression over hours to days	Progression over hours to days	Ascending weakness over hours to days, nadir by 2 to 4 weeks	Progression of multifocal deficits over 4 to 7 days	
	Distribution	Asymmetric; upper≫lower limb	Symmetric or asymmetric; bilateral;below level of lesion	Symmetric	Commonly asymmetric	
[	Tone	Flaceid	Can be flaccid in acute phase, then spastic	Flaceid	Spastic	Flaccid (instead of spastic)
	Deep tendon reflexes	Decreased or absent	Can be decreased in acute phase, then increased	Decreased or absent	Increased	
Associated deficits	Sensory deficits	Variably present in affected limb	Common, sensory level present	Distal paresthesias with little objective sensory loss; no sensory loss present in acute motor axonal neuropathy variant	Common	
_	Autonomic deficits	Bowel and bladder dysfunction possible	Common, especially bowel or bladder dysfunction	Common, especially cardiovascular instability	Possible	
	Cranial nerve deficits	Common, especially bulbar dysfunction, diplopia, facial weakness	Uncommon	Uncommon, but may occur with variants (Miller Fischer variant)	Possible, especially optic neuritis	Cranial nerve deficits commo

Clinical Presentation of Acute Flaccid Myelitis Compared to Other Neurologic Syndromes With Acute Limb Weakness

#### Putting it all together

- Nonspecific prodromal illness
- Rapid onset weakness
- MRI findings in grey matter
- Recovery?



What do we know about potential causes?







#### Enteroviruses?

- Enterovirus 71
  - Typically causes hand-foot-mouth disease
  - Known association with neurologic disease during outbreaks
    - Acute flaccid myelitis
    - Encephalomyelitis
- Enterovirus D68
  - First described in 1962
  - Respiratory illness

Pathogens	CSF	Respiratory	Serum/Plasma	Stool/Rectal Swab
Enterovirus/rhinovirus	1/55 (2)	24/56 (43) <sup>a</sup>	0/43 (0)	11/54 <sup>b</sup> (20)
EV-D68	1/1 (100)	11/23 (48)		0/11 (0)
Non-EV-D68	0/1 (0)	12/23 (52)		11/11 (100)
Adenoviruses	0/48 (0)	0/44 (0)	1/39 (3)	5/47 (11)
Herpesviruses				
Herpes simplex virus 1	0/41 (0)	0/35 (0)	0/33 (0)	
Herpes simplex virus 2	0/41 (0)	0/35 (0)	0/33 (0)	
Varicella zoster virus	0/41 (0)	0/35 (0)	0/33 (0)	
Epstein-Barr virus	1/41 (2)	3/35 (9)	2/31 (6)	
Human herpesvirus 6A	0/39 (0)		0/29 (0)	
Human herpesvirus 6B	0/39 (0)		0/29 (0)	
Cytomegalovirus	0/38 (0)		0/26 (0)	
Panviral PCR platform	0/31 (0)	2/9 (22)°	1/16 (6) <sup>d</sup>	1/7 (14) <sup>e</sup>
Metagenomic next-generation sequencing	14/35 (40) <sup>f</sup>	1/1 (100) <sup>9</sup>	7/12 (54) <sup>h</sup>	
Arboviruses (IgM)				
West Nile	0/2 (0)		0/35 (0) <sup>i</sup>	
St Louis encephalitis	0/2 (0)		0/35 (0) <sup>i</sup>	
La Crosse	0/4 (0)		0/35 (0) <sup>i</sup>	

CDC laboratory results Stool/Rectal CSF specimens Respiratory specimens swab specimens Total Enterovirus and rhinovirus testing, by type (n = 21)(n = 59) (n = 45) (N = 125)EV- or RV-positive no. (%) 2 (10) 31 (53) 17 (38) 50 Subtype, no. (%) positive<sup>†</sup> EV-A71 1 (50) 10 (32) 10 (59) 21 (42) EV-D68 1 (50) 13 (42) 1 (6) 15 (30) EV-D68/PeV-A6 0-1 (3) 0-T (Z) RV-A38 1 (3) 1(2) 0 ----0-RV-A101 1 (3) 1(2) 0 ----0 — RV-A24/PeV-A6 1 (3) 1(2)0 ---0-RV-A81 1 (3) 1(2) 0 ---0-RV-A54 1 (3) 1(2) 0 ---0-CVA2 1(2) 0 ----0-1 (6) CVA4 0 ---0-1 (6) 1(2) CVA9 1 (6) 1(2) 0 ---0-CVA16 1(2) 1 (6) 0 ---0-PeV-A1 1 (6) 1(2) 0 ---0-Nontyped EV/RV 0 ----2 (6) 1 (6) 3 (6)

TABLE. Enterovirus/rhinovirus (EV/RV) type testing results\* of specimens from patients with confirmed acute flaccid myelitis and specimens positive for EV/RV, by specimen type — United States, January–October 2018

Abbreviations: CSF = cerebrospinal fluid; CVA = Coxsackie A virus; PeV-A6 = parechovirus A6.

\* Specimens tested at CDC laboratory.

<sup>†</sup> Among EV- or RV-positive specimens.

#### What about EV D68? – Bradford Hill Criteria



#### Strength

- Exposure  $\rightarrow$  higher risk of disease
- Increased AFM cases clustering during periods of EV D68 circulation in 2014 and 2016
- Sporadic AFM cases with no clustering in 2015 when EV D68 not circulating
- 4.5–10.3 greater odds of detection in AFM patients than respiratory controls in Colorado case control study





#### Consistency

• Repetition of findings

- Cases of paralysis with enterovirus D68 detection reported from
- 14 countries on six continents with consistent clinical presentation



#### Specificity

- Exposure causes one specific disease or syndrome or specific population
- Partially fulfilled



#### Temporality

• Exposure occurs before disease

- Febrile respiratory prodrome precedes onset of neurological symptoms
- Cases with samples collected during respiratory prodrome positive before onset of neurological symptoms
- Frequency of EV D68 detection decreases with delayed sampling after AFM onset



#### **Biological gradient**

• Dose-response relationship

 No dose-response relationship noted and low level detection of in respiratory specimens of some severe AFM cases



#### Plausibility

- Conceivable mechanism
- 5 case reports of acute flaccid paralysis or AFM with EV D68 in CSF
- Most AFM cases with no EV D68 and no alternative pathogens in CSF
- One case with autopsy histopathology consistent with enterovirus and EV D68 in CSF



#### Coherence

- Does not contradict previous knowledge
- Enteroviruses known to affect CNS
- EV A71 associated with neurological complications and encephalomyelitis



#### Experiment

Animal models

- Recent strains cause paralytic myelitis in mouse model, whereas historical strains do not
- Enterovirus D68 infects and causes loss of motor neurons in anterior horn of spinal cord in mice
- Enterovirus D68 antibodies protect against paralytic disease in mice, whereas immunosuppression leads to increased paralysis and mortality



#### Evidence for Enterovirus D68

- Mouse model
- EV D68 strain caused paralysis by multiple routes of inoculation:
  - Intramuscular, 100%
  - Intracerebral, about 50%
  - Intraperitoneal, about 5%
  - Intranasal, about 3%



#### Analogy

- Association of similar exposure and disease outcome
- Clinical presentation, neuroimaging, electrophysiological findings similar to paralytic disease due to poliovirus and EV A71
- Enterovirus D68 found less commonly in CSF than poliovirus or EV A71
- Detection of poliovirus or enterovirus A71 from stool when absent in CSF analogous to EV D68 detection in respiratory specimens





### What can we do?

#### Potential Treatment Options

- Steroids
- IVIG
- Plasma exchange
- Antivirals
- Fluoxetine



#### Steroids



- Has often been given in combination with other therapies
- Higher mortality in mouse models
- Poorer outcomes with EV-71
- There is no indication that corticosteroids should be either preferred or avoided in the treatment of AFM.
- There is no clear human evidence for efficacy of steroids in the treatment of AFM, and there is some evidence in a mouse model with EV-D68 that steroids may be harmful.

#### IVIG

- Efficacy in prevention of progression to neuroinvasive disease in rodent models
- All AFM patients tolerated the treatment regimens well without major complications
- Neurologic improvement was seen in all patients regardless of treatment, but in all except one patient, deficits persisted
- There is no indication that IVIG should be either preferred or avoided in the treatment of AFM.
- There is no clear human evidence for efficacy of IVIG in the treatment of AFM; evidence for efficacy is based on early treatment in animal models and it has not been given in a systematic manner to AFM patients to allow for measurements of efficacy.



#### Plasma exchange



- Case reports
- There is no indication that plasma exchange should be either preferred or avoided in the treatment of AFM.
- There is no clear human evidence for efficacy of plasma exchange in the treatment of AFM, and it has not been given in a systematic manner to AFM patients to allow for measurements of efficacy.
- Although there are inherent procedure-associated risks, there is no evidence that using plasma exchange for patients with AFM is likely to be harmful.

#### Antivirals



- Specific pathogen from a <u>sterile site</u> not identified in the majority of AFM patients
- CDC testing revealed no activity against circulating strains of EV D68

• There is no indication that antivirals should be used for the treatment of AFM, unless there is suspicion of herpesvirus infection (e.g., concomitant supra-tentorial disease or other clinical or radiologic features of herpesvirus infection).

#### Treatment

#### No reported effect of any treatments...yet

	CDPH (n=59)	CHCO (n=12)	PCH (n=11)	CDC (n=120)
Intravenous immune globulin, %	73	75	82	73
Plasmapheresis, %	22	17	9	15
Intravenous steroids, %	71	42	55	54
Antivirals, %	3	17	0	NR

CDPH = California Department of Public Health. June 2012–July 2015. CHCO = Children's Hospital Colorado. August 1, 2014–October 31, 2014. PCH = Primary Children's Hospital. February 2014–January 2015.

#### **CDC** Recommendations



- For three main treatments used for AFM, intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG), corticosteroids, and plasmapheresis, there is not enough human evidence to indicate a preference or an avoidance for their use at this time
- Treatment decisions should be made in conjunction with neurology and infectious diseases experts.
- The possible benefits of using corticosteroids for spinal cord edema or white matter involvement must be balanced by the possible harm due to immunosuppression in the setting of a possible viral infection.
- There is no indication for the use of other immunosuppressive agents in the management of AFM.

#### Fluoxetine?

- Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor
- Activity against enteroviruses in vitro
- Both in a mouse model and retrospective case comparison of AFM patients, neither showed improvement of neurologic outcomes

• There is no indication that fluoxetine should be used for the treatment of AFM.

#### What can we do?

- Steroids
- IVIG
- Plasma exchange
- Antivirals
- Fluoxetine
- Supportive care
- Intensive rehabilitation therapy

#### Supportive Care

- Respiratory support
- Feeding
- Pain control
- Rehabilitation



#### What are the long term outcomes?

- Regain of function?
- Experimental surgery

#### Long term outcomes





Martin et al, Neurol, 2017

#### Long term outcomes

Table 1	Table 1 Continued												
		Patient 1	Patient 2	Patient 3	Patient 4	Patient 5	Patient 6	Patient 7	Patient 8	Patient 9	Patient 10	Patient 11	Patient 12
Function ( assessmer	clinical nt)												
Onset		Horizontal diplopia, SCM weakness	R facial droop at rest, unable to fully close eye	Horizontal diplopia	Horizontal diplopia	Severe bulbar weakness, tracheostomy/ gastrostomy	Severe bulbar weakness, NIPPV, SCM weakness	Bilateral facial droop, unable to fully close eyes		Severe bulbar weakness, intubated, NG feeds	Subjective difficulty swallowing		
Six mont	ths	Normal vision and strength	Nasolabial fold flattening	а	а	Decannulated, hypophonia	Mild SCM weakness	R nasolabial fold flattening		а	Subjective difficulty swallowing		а
One year	r	Normal vision and strength	Nasolabial fold flattening	а	а	Hypophonia	Mild SCM weakness	Normal strength		а	Subjective difficulty swallowing		а

#### Muscle atrophy

Muscle atrophy of affected limbs in children with acute flaccid myelitis (AFM)



# **Doctors pioneer surgical treatment for rare, polio-like virus**

#### f Share / 🕊 Tweet / 🎯 Reddit / F Flipboard / @ Email

Health officials are investigating a disturbing uptick in cases of paralysis in children from a rare illness in several states. Acute flaccid myelitis, also known as AFM, affects the nervous system and causes the muscles and reflexes in the body to become weak.

Its symptoms are likened to those caused by polio, which was eradicated in the U.S. thanks to the polio vaccine.

The illness is thought to attack the body's nervous system, although it's not well understood why. It can lead to paralysis and even death.

The U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention said there have been 38 confirmed cases of AFM this year through the end of September. Fourteen cases have been reported in Colorado and six in Minnesota, most of them children. Earlier this week, three new cases were reported in patients being treated in Pittsburgh. CBS Chicago also reported that a 2-year-old in Chicago is recovering from the illness.

There is no specific treatment for AFM, but doctors at Children's Hospital Los Angeles are pioneering a new therapy to help patients regain movement.

"About half of kids with AFM will strengthen up enough on their own that they won't require any form of surgical intervention for their nerves. The other half won't," Dr. Mitchel Seruya, director of the Brachial Plexus and Peripheral Nerve Center at Children's Hospital Los Angeles, told CBS News.

Seruya is working on a nerve transfer surgery to help these patients.

Four-year-old Maipele Burns is one of the children receiving the treatment. She was born with chronic asthma, but an attack just before her second birthday was different



#### Conclusions

- We do not yet fully understand the pathogenesis of AFM
- Presentations variable and range from mild to severe
- Treatments have not been given systematically → difficult to determine efficacy
- Long term outcomes unknown, but many patients have residual deficits
- Published data limited

#### Advice for practitioners

- When AFM is suspected, hospitalization is recommended
  - Do not ignore limb weakness!
- Monitor closely, consider ICU care
  - Potential for rapid deterioration of weakness and respiratory compromise
- Obtain brain and spine MRI
- Immediate consultation with neurology and ID

#### Mark – where is he now?



#### Questions

